arXiv:1612.04539v1 [math.NT] 14 Dec 2016

EXCEPTIONAL UNITS IN THE RESIDUE CLASS RINGS OF GLOBAL FIELDS

SU HU AND MIN SHA

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \in R$ and R^* its group of units. A unit $u \in R^*$ is called exceptional if $1-u \in R^*$. In the case $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of residue classes modulo n, recently Sander has determined the number of representations of an arbitrary element $c \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as the sum of two exceptional units. This result has been immediately generalized by Yang and Zhao, which gives an exact formula for the number of ways to represent each element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as the sum of $k \geq 2$ exceptional units.

In this paper, we show that the method of Yang and Zhao in fact leads to a generalization in any residue class ring of global fields. Moreover, we completely determine the additive structure of such exceptional units.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \in R$ and R^* its group of units. A unit $u \in R$ is called *exceptional* if $1 - u \in R^*$. We denote by R^{**} the set of exceptional units of R. This concept was introduced by Nagell [12] in 1969 in order to solve certain cubic Diophantine equations. The key idea is that the solution of many Diophantine equations can be reduced to the solution of a finite number of unit equations of type

$$ax + by = 1,$$

where x and y are restricted to units in the ring of integers of some number field. By choosing $a = b = 1$, we obtain the concept of exceptional unit.

Aside from solving certain Diophantine equations (such as Thue equations [25], Thue-Mahler equations [26], discriminant form equations [21]), exceptional units also have connections with detecting and constructing Euclidean number fields [7, 9, 11], cyclic resultants [22, 23], and Lehmer's conjecture related to the Mahler measure [19, 20].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B13, 11D45, 11L03, 11R04.

Key words and phrases. Residue class ring, Sum of units, Exceptional unit, Exponential sum.

Given a commutative ring R, there are two natrual kinds of problems about its exceptional units:

- Quantity: how many exceptional units in R?
- Additive structure: what is the k-fold sumset $\sum_{i=1}^{k} R^{**}, k \geq 2$? can R be generated by its exceptional units (as a ring)?

We remark that the statement that R is generated by its exceptional units is equivalent to that every element of R is a sum of its exceptional units.

Looking at the number field case, let F be a number field, and $\mathcal{O}_F$ is its ring of integers. For an element $u \in \mathcal{O}_F$, assume that $f(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of u over the integers $\mathbb{Z}$, then it is easy to see that $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^{**}$ if and only if $f(0) = \pm 1$ and $f(1) = \pm 1$. It has been known by Siegel [18] that $\mathcal{O}_F^{**}$ is a finite set. Moreover, Evertse [5] has given an upper bound for the size of $\mathcal{O}_F^{**}$:

$$\#\mathcal{O}_F^{**} \leq 3 \cdot 7^{3d},$$

where d is the degree of F over the rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$.

We take quadratic number fields for examples. It is easy to see that the only exceptional units in quadratic fields are the roots of the four polynomials:

$$X^2 - X + 1, \quad X^2 - X - 1, \quad X^2 + X - 1, \quad X^2 - 3X + 1.$$

In fact, they correspond to the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. For these two fields, the ring of integers is generated by its exceptional units.

However, up to our knowledge, so far there is no work on studying the additive structure of $\mathcal{O}_F^{**}$. Regarding the additive structure of $\mathcal{O}_F^{*}$, this might be not easy. There is a long history on studying the additive structure of units in number fields with the earliest result in 1964 from Jacobson [8]. Jarden and Narkiewicz [10] has showed that for any number field F, there is no integer n such that every element of $\mathcal{O}_F$ is a sum of at most n units. Several questions have been posed in that paper, and one of them has been answered affirmatively by Frei [6], which says that for any number field F, there exists a number field K containing F such that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is generated by its units. Another question there asks for a criterion for a number field F to have the property that $\mathcal{O}_F$ is generated by its units. In this paper, we shall give a simple sufficient condition for a number field F such that $\mathcal{O}_F$ is not generated by its units (see Corollary 1.4).

1.2. **Our situation.** In this paper, we solve the above two problems in the case when R is a residue class ring of a global field. Here, as

usual a global field means a number field (finite extension over $\mathbb{Q}$) or a function field with a finite constant field.

So far, we only know the complete solution on the case $R = \mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the residue class ring of $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo n, and a partial solution in the case when R is a residue class ring of a number field. Recently, Sander [16] has determined the number of representations of an arbitrary element $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ as the sum of two exceptional units. This result has been immediately generalized by Yang and Zhao [27] to higher dimension cases and by Zhang and Ji [28] to the number field case.

More precisely, for any integer k, n, c with $k, n \geq 2$, denote by

$$\varphi_k(n, c) = \#\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_n^{**})^k : x_1 + \cdots + x_k \equiv c \pmod{n}\}.$$

Sander [16, Theorem 1.1] has given an explicit formula for $\varphi_2(n, c)$. Recently, Zhang and Ji [28, Theorem 1.5] has extended Sander's result to the case when R is a residue class ring of a number field. Using a different approach with the aid of exponential sums, for any integer $k \geq 2$ Yang and Zhao [27, Theorem 1] has obtained an exact formula for $\varphi_k(n, c)$. We reproduce this general formula as follows.

We point out that there is a typos in [27, Theorem 1], that is, the factor $(-1)^k$ should be inside the product symbol.

Theorem 1.1 (Sander [16], Yang and Zhao [27]). *For any integer k, n, c with $k, n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\varphi_k(n, c) = \prod_{p^m \| n} (-1)^k p^{km-k-m} \left(p \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \equiv c \,(\mathrm{mod}\ p)}}^{k} \binom{k}{j} + (2-p)^k - 2^k \right),$$

where the product runs over all the distinct prime factors of n.

In this paper, we show that the method of Yang and Zhao in fact leads to a generalization of this formula to any global fields. As an application, we completely determine the additive structure of such exceptional units in our situation. As a byproduct, we obtain a simple sufficient condition to decide for which number field, its ring of integers can not be generated by its units.

From now on, F is an arbitrary global field, and $\mathcal{O}_F$ is the ring of integers of F. Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$, and $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ be the residue class ring of $\mathcal{O}_F$ modulo I. Also, let

$$N_F(I) = \#\mathcal{O}_F/I,$$

which is called the norm of I. Here, for any set S we denote by $\#S$ its cardinality.

The letters $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ with or without subscript stand for prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$. For any positive integer m, we write $\mathfrak{p}^m \| I$ if $\mathfrak{p}^m \mid I$ but $\mathfrak{p}^{m+1} \nmid I$.

Besides, given any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$, for simplicity we still use α to denote the residue class of α modulo I. The reader can distinguish them easily by context.

1.3. **Units and their additive structure.** In order to study exceptional units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$, we need to first study units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$.

The additive structure of units of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, more generally solving linear equations over $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$, has been studied for a long time. We refer to [15, 17, 24] for some recent results.

Here, we want to study the additive structure of units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ for any global field F by using exponential sums as in [27].

For $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we define the set

$$\Psi_{k,F}(I,c) = \{(x_1,\dots,x_k) \in \left((\mathcal{O}_F/I)^*\right)^k : x_1+\cdots+x_k \equiv c \pmod{I}\},$$

and put

$$\psi_{k,F}(I,c) = \#\Psi_{k,F}(I,c).$$

That is, $\psi_{k,F}(I,c)$ is the number of ways to represent c as a sum of k units.

Theorem 1.2. *For any integer $k \geq 2$, any non-zero ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_F$ and any $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we have*

$$\psi_{k,F}(I,c) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^m \| I} N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{km-k-m} \mu_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c),$$

where the product runs over all the distinct prime factors of I and

$$\mu_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+1} + (N_F(\mathfrak{p})-1)^k & \text{if } c \notin \mathfrak{p}, \\ (-1)^k(N_F(\mathfrak{p})-1) + (N_F(\mathfrak{p})-1)^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, $\langle c \rangle$ denotes the principal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_F$ generated by c.

If $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbb{Z}$, then any non-zero integral ideal I is of the form $\langle n \rangle$ for some non-zero integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, Theorem 1.2 recovers the classical result in the case $\mathbb{Z}_n$, which has been first established by Brauer [2] and rediscovered by several authors (for instance, see [4, 13]).

From Theorem 1.2, one can see that $\psi_{k,F}(I,c) = 0$ is only possible if there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid \langle c \rangle$ with $N_F(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$. So, Theorem 1.2 can be used to establish the additive structure of units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$.

Theorem 1.3. *With the notation in Theorem 1.2, the following hold.*

(i) *If there is no prime ideal* $\mathfrak{p}$ *dividing* I *and of norm* 2*, then we have*

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^* + (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^* = \mathcal{O}_F/I.$$

(ii) *If* $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s$ $(s \geq 1)$ *are all the distinct prime ideals dividing* I *and of norm* 2*, then for any integer* $k \geq 2$ *we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^* = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s/I & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \\ \left(\mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i\right)/I & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, in this case every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ *is a sum of its units if and only if* $s = 1$*.*

In Theorem 1.3 (ii), if $s = 1$, we can see that every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is a sum of at most three units.

We remark that recently in [28, Corollary 1.2] Zhang and Ji have determined the 2-fold sumset of $(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^*$ when F is a number field.

By Theorem 1.3 (ii), we can get a simple sufficient condition to decide whether $\mathcal{O}_F$ is generated by its units.

Corollary 1.4. *Let* F *be a number field not equal to* $\mathbb{Q}$*. If there are at least two prime ideals of* $\mathcal{O}_F$ *lying above the prime* 2 *and of norm* 2 *(for example,* 2 *splits completely in* $\mathcal{O}_F$*), then* $\mathcal{O}_F$ *is not generated by its units.*

However, the condition in Corollary 1.4 is sufficient but not necessary.

Example 1.5. Choose $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. Then, the prime 2 is ramified in $\mathcal{O}_F$, and so $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is generated by its units for any non-zero ideal I. However, by Belcher's result [1, Lemma 1], $\mathcal{O}_F$ is not generated by its units.

1.4. **Exceptional units and their additive structure.** We now turn our attention to exceptional units. In Theorem 1.2 , if we choose $k = 2$ and $c = 1$, then we directly get the size of $(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}$.

Theorem 1.6. *For any non-zero ideal* I *of* $\mathcal{O}_F$*, we have*

$$\#(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} = N_F(I)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|I}(1 - 2/N_F(\mathfrak{p})),$$

where the product runs over all the distinct prime factors of I*.*

From Theorem 1.6, it is easy to obtain a sufficient and necessary condition on the existence of exceptional units. For example, if $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbb{Z}$ and $I = \langle n \rangle, n \geq 2$, then $\mathbb{Z}_n^{**} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if n is odd.

Corollary 1.7. *$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there is a prime ideal dividing I and of norm 2.*

Notice that every exceptional unit of $\mathcal{O}_F$ automatically yields an exceptional unit in $\mathcal{O}_F/I$. So, we immediately have:

Corollary 1.8. *If $\mathcal{O}_F$ has a prime ideal of norm 2, then $\mathcal{O}_F^{**} = \emptyset$.*

In view of quadratic number fields, one can see that the condition in Corollary 1.8 is sufficient but not necessary.

Now, we want to study the additive structure of exceptional units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$. For $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, define the set

$$\Phi_{k,F}(I,c) = \{(x_1,\dots,x_k) \in \left((\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}\right)^k : x_1+\cdots+x_k \equiv c \pmod{I}\},$$

and denote

$$\varphi_{k,F}(I,c) = \#\Phi_{k,F}(I,c).$$

That is, $\varphi_{k,F}(I,c)$ is the number of ways to represent c as a sum of k exceptional units.

In [28, Theorem 1.5], there is an explicit formula for $\varphi_{2,F}(I,c)$ when F is a number field. Here, we give a formula for $\varphi_{k,F}(I,c)$ for any $k \geq 2$ by following the approach in [27].

Theorem 1.9. *For any integer $k \geq 2$, any non-zero ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_F$ and any $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we have*

$$\varphi_{k,F}(I,c) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^m \| I} (-1)^k N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{km-k-m} \rho_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c),$$

where the product runs over all the distinct prime factors of I and

$$\rho_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c) = N_F(\mathfrak{p}) \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j\equiv c \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{k} \binom{k}{j} + (2-N_F(\mathfrak{p}))^k - 2^k.$$

We remark that Theorem 1.9 indeed includes Theorem 1.1 as a special example.

Example 1.10. If $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, the polynomial ring over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, then any non-zero integral ideal $I = \langle f \rangle$ for some non-zero polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$. As in [14, Chapter 1], denote by $|f| = N_F(I) = \#\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\langle f\rangle$, we have $|f| = q^{\deg f}$. From Theorem 1.9 above, one can obtain a formula for the number of ways to represent each element of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\langle f\rangle$ as the sum of k exceptional units.

We completely determine the additive structure of $(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.11. *With the notation in Theorem 1.9, assume that there is no prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid I$ with $N_F(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$. Then, the following hold.*

(i) *If there is no prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid I$ with $N_F(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$ or 4, we have*

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} + (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} = \mathcal{O}_F/I.$$

(ii) *If $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$ $(s \geq 1)$ are all the distinct prime ideals dividing I and of norm 3, and assume that there is no prime ideal dividing I and of norm 4, then for $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s/I & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ 2 + \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s/I & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \\ 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s/I & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod 3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is a sum of its exceptional units (in fact, at most four exceptional units) if and only if $s = 1$.

(iii) *If $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_t$ $(t \geq 1)$ are all the distinct prime ideals dividing I and of norm 4, and assume that there is no prime ideal dividing I and of norm 3, then for $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1)/I & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} \left(\mathcal{O}_F \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1)\right)/I & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is a sum of its exceptional units (in fact, at most three exceptional units) if and only if $t = 1$.

(iv) *Assume that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$ $(s \geq 1)$ are all the distinct prime ideals dividing I and of norm 3, and $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_t$ $(t \geq 1)$ are all the distinct prime ideals dividing I and of norm 4. Then, for $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**} = \begin{cases} \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1)\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod 6, \\ \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s + 2 \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathcal{O}_F \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1))\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod 6, \\ \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s + 1 \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1)\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod 6, \\ \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathcal{O}_F \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1))\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod 6, \\ \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s + 2 \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1)\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 4 \pmod 6, \\ \left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s + 1 \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} (\mathcal{O}_F \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_j \cup \mathfrak{q}_j + 1))\right)/I & \text{if } k \equiv 5 \pmod 6. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is a sum of its exceptional units (in fact, at most seven exceptional units) if and only if $s = t = 1$.

We point out that the case in Theorem 1.11 (iv) can only possibly happen when F is a number field.

Using Corollary 1.8 and Theorem 1.11, we can obtain some simple sufficient conditions to decide whether $\mathcal{O}_F$ is generated by its exceptional units.

Corollary 1.12. *Let F be a number field not equal to $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_F$ is not generated by its exceptional units if one of the following conditions holds:*

- *$\mathcal{O}_F$ has a prime ideal of norm 2;*
- *$\mathcal{O}_F$ has at least two prime ideals of norm 3;*
- *$\mathcal{O}_F$ has at least two prime ideals of norm 4.*

2. Proofs

2.1. **Preliminaries.** We first recall two well-known facts from the ring theory. We say that two ideals I and J of a ring R are coprime if $I + J = R$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a commutative ring with an identity. For any two ideals I, J of R, if I and J are coprime, then $I \cap J = IJ$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a Dedekind domain, and I, J two ideals of R. Then, I and J are coprime if and only if they don't have a common prime factor.*

Now, we recall briefly the exponential sum over finite fields. As in Carlitz [3, p.181], given a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = p^f$, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, define

$$t(a) = a + a^p + \cdots + a^{p^{f-1}},$$
$$e(a) = \exp\Big(\frac{2\pi i t(a)}{p}\Big).$$

It is easy to see that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and any integer m, we have

$$e(a+b) = e(a)e(b), \qquad e(ma) = e(a)^m.$$

Moreover, we have the following othogonality relation:

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q} e(ab) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } a = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For the proof, we need two simple lemmas. The first one follows directly from the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *$\psi_{k,F}(I,c)$ is multiplicative with respect to I.*

From Lemma 2.3, we only need to consider the case when I is a prime power.

Lemma 2.4. *For any integer $m \geq 2$, any $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$, we have*

$$\psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c) = N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{(k-1)(m-1)} \psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c).$$

Proof. Notice that there is a natural map from $\Psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c)$ to $\Psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \quad \Psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c) &\longrightarrow \Psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c) \\ (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) &\longmapsto (x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_k'), \end{aligned}$$

where each x_i' is the projection of x_i from $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m$ to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}$. It is easy to see that the map τ is an $N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$-to-one map. Repeatedly using this fact, one can get the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$, denote $F_\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$, and suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is lying above the prime number p. Using the formulas in Section 2.1 about exponential sums, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c) &= \#\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \left(F_\mathfrak{p}^*\right)^k : x_1 + \cdots + x_k \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\} \\ &= \sum_{x_1 \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} \sum_{x_2 \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} \cdots \sum_{x_k \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \sum_{t \in F_\mathfrak{p}} e(((x_1 + \cdots + x_k) - c)t) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \sum_{t \in F_\mathfrak{p}} \Big(\sum_{x_1 \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} e(x_1 t) \Big) \cdots \Big(\sum_{x_k \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} e(x_k t) \Big) e(-ct) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \Big(\sum_{t \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} \Big(\sum_{x_1 \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} e(x_1 t) \Big) \cdots \Big(\sum_{x_k \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} e(x_k t) \Big) e(-ct) \\ &\qquad\qquad + (N_F(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)^k \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \left((-1)^k \sum_{t \in F_\mathfrak{p}^*} e(-ct) + (N_F(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)^k \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \left((-1)^{k+1} + (N_F(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)^k \right) & \text{if } c \notin \mathfrak{p}, \\ \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})} \left((-1)^k (N_F(\mathfrak{p}) - 1) + (N_F(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)^k \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which, combining with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, implies the desired result. □

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** (i) Notice that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing I and of norm greater than 2 we have

$$\mu_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c) > 0, \quad \text{for any } c \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

This together with Theorem 1.2 implies the result in (i).

(ii) Now, assume that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal dividing I and of norm 2, then we obtain

$$\mu_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+1} + 1 & \text{if } c \notin \mathfrak{p}, \\ (-1)^k + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, letting $k = 2$ and applying Theorem 1.2, we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^* + (\mathcal{O}_F/I)^* = (\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i)/I.$$

Besides, from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we know that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s.$$

This completes the proof of the first identity.

Similarly, putting $k = 3$ and using Theorem 1.2 we obtain the second identity.

In addition, if $s = 1$, it is easy to see that every element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is a sum of at most three exceptional units. However, if $s \geq 2$, note that for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \cap_{i=2}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i$, we have that the residue classes of α modulo I is not contained in the set

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s/I + \big(\mathcal{O}_K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i\big)/I,$$

which implies that not each element of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ can be written as a sum of its exceptional units.

2.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.9.** As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we first make some preparations.

Lemma 2.5. *$\varphi_{k,F}(I, c)$ is multiplicative with respect to I.*

This follows immediately from the Chinese Remainder Theorem as [16, Theorem 1.1] and [27, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.6. *For any integer $m \geq 2$, any $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$, we have*

$$\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c) = N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{(k-1)(m-1)} \varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c).$$

Proof. There is a natural map from $\Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c)$ to $\Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma : \quad \Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c) &\longrightarrow \Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c)\\ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) &\longmapsto (x_1', x_2', \dots, x_k'),\end{aligned}$$

where each x_i' is the projection of x_i from $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m$ to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}$. Clearly, the map σ is well-defined.

We first show that σ is surjective. Given a vector $(x_1', \dots, x_k') \in \Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c)$, by definition there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$ such that

$$x_1' + \cdots + x_k' - c = a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, \quad x_i' \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^{m-1})^{**}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Then, we set

$$x_1 = x_1' - a, \quad x_2 = x_2', \quad \dots, \quad x_k = x_k'.$$

Note that for any positive integer j and any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$, $\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^j)^*$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ does not divide the ideal $\langle \alpha \rangle$. Thus, we have

$$x_i \equiv x_i' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}}, \quad x_i \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m)^{**}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

and

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_k \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}.$$

So, σ is surjective.

Moreover, given $x, y \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m)^{**}$, $x \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}}$ if and only if $x - y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^m \cong \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$. Notice that $N_F(\mathfrak{p}) = \#\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$, and for each vector in $\Phi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c)$ if any $k-1$ components are fixed, then the remaining one is also fixed. So, the map σ is an $N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$-to-one map.

Hence, with the above discussions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^m, c) &= N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}, c)\\ &= N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{2(k-1)}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-2}, c)\\ &\cdots\\ &= N_F(\mathfrak{p})^{(k-1)(m-1)}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c),\end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 2$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.9. From the above lemmas, it is only need to calculate $\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p}, c)$ for prime $\mathfrak{p}$ and $c \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Denote $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$, and suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is lying above the prime number p.

From the formulas in Section 2.1 about exponential sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c) &= \#\{(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in \big(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}\big)^k : x_1+\cdots+x_k\equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}\\ &= \sum_{x_1\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}}\sum_{x_2\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}}\cdots\sum_{x_k\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}}\frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\sum_{t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}} e(((x_1+\cdots+x_k)-c)t)\\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\sum_{t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}}\Big(\sum_{x_1\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}} e(x_1t)\Big)\cdots\Big(\sum_{x_k\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}} e(x_kt)\Big)e(-ct)\\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\Big(\sum_{t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*}}\Big(\sum_{x_1\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}} e(x_1t)\Big)\cdots\Big(\sum_{x_k\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}} e(x_kt)\Big)e(-ct)\\ &\qquad + (N_F(\mathfrak{p})-2)^k\Big)\end{aligned}$$

(since $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}=F_{\mathfrak{p}}\setminus\{0,1\}$).

Notice that for any $t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*}$, we have

$$0=\sum_{x_i\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}} e(x_it)=1+e(t)+\sum_{x_i\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**}} e(x_it).$$

Then, we further have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{k,F}(\mathfrak{p},c) &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\Big(\sum_{t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*}}(-1-e(t))^k e(-ct)+(N_F(\mathfrak{p})-2)^k\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\Big((-1)^k\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\sum_{t\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*}} e(t(j-c))+(N_F(\mathfrak{p})-2)^k\Big)\\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\equiv c\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{k}\binom{k}{j}(N_F(\mathfrak{p})-1))-\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\not\equiv c\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\\ &\qquad + (2-N_F(\mathfrak{p}))^k\Big)\\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{N_F(\mathfrak{p})}\Big(N_F(\mathfrak{p})\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\equiv c\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{k}\binom{k}{j}+(2-N_F(\mathfrak{p}))^k-2^k\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, implies the desired result. □

2.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.11.** (i) Note that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing I and of norm greater than 4 we have

$$\rho_{2,F}(\mathfrak{p},c)\neq 0,\quad \text{for any } c\in\mathcal{O}_F.$$

This together with Theorem 1.9 implies the result in (i).

(ii) If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal dividing I and of norm 3, we have

$$\rho_{2,F}(\mathfrak{p},c)=3\left(\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\equiv c\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{2}\binom{2}{j}-1\right),\quad \text{for any } c\in\mathcal{O}_F.$$

Notice that $N_F(\mathfrak{p})=3$, then the residue classes modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ can be represented by $0,1,2$ respectively. So, $\rho_{2,F}(\mathfrak{p},c)\neq 0$ if and only if $c-1\in\mathfrak{p}$, and thus using Theorem 1.9 we get

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}+(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}=(1+\cap_{i=1}^{s}\mathfrak{p}_i)/I=1+\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s/I, \tag{2.1}$$

where we also use the fact that $\cap_{i=1}^{s}\mathfrak{p}_i=\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$. We again have

$$\rho_{3,F}(\mathfrak{p},c)=3\left(\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\equiv c\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{p})}}^{3}\binom{3}{j}-3\right),\quad \text{for any } c\in\mathcal{O}_F.$$

Thus, $\rho_{3,F}(\mathfrak{p},c)\neq 0$ if and only if $c\in\mathfrak{p}$, where one should note that $3\in\mathfrak{p}$ (note that if F is a function field, its characteristic must be 3), and so by Theorem 1.9 we obtain

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}+(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}+(\mathcal{O}_F/I)^{**}=(\cap_{i=1}^{s}\mathfrak{p}_i)/I=\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s/I. \tag{2.2}$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.2) we get the identity in (ii).

Now, notice that the set of sums of exceptional units of $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ is

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}+\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s\right)/I.$$

This set is equal to $\mathcal{O}_F/I$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}_F=\mathbb{Z}+\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s+I,$$

that is

$$\mathcal{O}_F=\mathbb{Z}+\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s,$$

because $I\subset\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$. This is equivalent to that the set $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$.

If F is a number field, all the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_s$ are lying above the prime 3 and of norm 3. Note that there is a natural bijection from $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$ to the set $\mathbb{Z}/(\mathbb{Z}\cap\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s)$. Since

$$\mathbb{Z}\cap\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s=\mathbb{Z}\cap\cap_{i=1}^{s}\mathfrak{p}_i=\cap_{i=1}^{s}(\mathbb{Z}\cap\mathfrak{p}_i)=3\mathbb{Z},$$

we obtain

$$\#\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s=\#\mathbb{Z}/(\mathbb{Z}\cap\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s)=\#\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}=3.$$

When F is a function field, the situation is much simpler. In this case the characteristic of F is 3, we automatically have

$$\#\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s = 3.$$

So, we always have

$$\#\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s = 3.$$

However, we have

$$\#\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s = N_F(\mathfrak{p}_1)\cdots N_F(\mathfrak{p}_s) = 3^s.$$

Thus, as a set, $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}_1\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s$ if and only if $s = 1$. Hence, we obtain the desired result on representations as sums of exceptional units .

(iii) If $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal dividing I and of norm 4, for even k we have

$$\rho_{k,F}(\mathfrak{q}, c) = 4 \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j\equiv c \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{q})}}^{k} \binom{k}{j}, \quad \text{for any } c \in \mathcal{O}_F,$$

and so $\rho_{k,F}(\mathfrak{q}, c) \neq 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathfrak{q}$ or $c-1 \in \mathfrak{q}$, where one should note that $2 \in \mathfrak{q}$ (if F is a function field, its characteristic must be 2). Thus, by using Theorem 1.9 we get the first identity in (iii).

For the second identity, we only need to consider the case $k = 3$. For this, we note that

$$\rho_{3,F}(\mathfrak{q}, c) = 4\left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j\equiv c \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{q})}}^{3} \binom{3}{j} - 4\right), \quad \text{for any } c \in \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Thus, $\rho_{3,F}(\mathfrak{q}, c) \neq 0$ if and only if $c \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $c-1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$. So, similarly we obtain the second identity.

Now, assume that $t \geq 2$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_1+\mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathcal{O}_F$ and $N_F(\mathfrak{q}_1) = N_F(\mathfrak{q}_2) = 4$, by contradiction it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{q}_1 \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_2 \cup \mathfrak{q}_2 + 1) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, by the two identities in (iii) we know that any element of $(\mathfrak{q}_1 \cup \mathfrak{q}_1 + 1) \setminus (\mathfrak{q}_2 \cup \mathfrak{q}_2 + 1)$ can not be written as a sum of exceptional units. This actually completes the proof of (iii).

(iv) The desired results in (iv) follow directly from (ii) and (iii).

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11501212. The research of M. S. was also supported by the Macquarie University Research Fellowship.

References

[1] P. Belcher, *Integers expressible as sums of distinct units*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **6** (1974), 66–68.

[2] A. Brauer, *Lösung der Aufgabe 30*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **35** (1926), 92–94.

[3] L. Carlitz, *Kloosterman sums and finite field extensions*, Acta Arith. **16** (1969/1970), 179–193.

[4] J.D. Dixon, *A finite analogue of the Goldbach problem*, Canad. Math. Bull. **3** (1960), 121–126.

[5] J.-H. Evertse, *On equations in S-units and the Thue-Mahler equation*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 561–584.

[6] C. Frei, *On rings of integers generated by their units*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 167–182.

[7] J. Houriet, *Exceptional units and Euclidean number fields*, Arch. Math. **88** (2007), 425–433.

[8] B. Jacobson, *Sums of distinct divisors and sums of distinct units*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 179–183.

[9] H.W. Lenstra, *Euclidean number fields of large degree*, Invent. Math. **38** (1977), 237–254.

[10] M. Jarden and W. Narkiewicz, *On sums of units*, Monatsh. Math. **150** (2007), 327–332.

[11] A. Leutbecher and G. Niklasch, *On cliques of exceptional units and Lenstras construction of Euclidean fields*, in: H.P. Schlickewei and E. Wirsing (eds.), Number Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. **1380**, Springer-Verlag, 1989, pp. 150–178.

[12] T. Nagell, *Sur un type particulier d'unités algébriques*, Ark. Mat. **8** (1969), 163–184.

[13] D. Rearick, *A linear congruence with side conditions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 837–840.

[14] M. Rosen, *Number theory in function fields*, GTM 210, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[15] J.W. Sander, *On the addition of units and nonunits mod m*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2260–2266.

[16] J.W. Sander, *Sums of exceptional units in residue class rings*, J. Number Theory **159** (2016), 1–6.

[17] J.W. Sander and T. Sander, *Adding generators in cyclic groups*, J. Number Theory **133** (2013), 705-718.

[18] C.L. Siegel, *Approximation algebraischer Zahlen*, Math. Zeit. **10** (1921), 173213,

[19] J.H. Silverman, *Exceptional units and numbers of small Mahler measure*, Exp. Math. **4** (1995), 69–83.

[20] J.H. Silverman, *Small Salem numbers, exceptional units, and Lehmers conjecture*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **26** (1996), 1099–1114.

[21] N.P. Smart, *Solving discriminant form equations via unit equations*, J. Symbolic Comput. **21** (1996), 367–374.

[22] C.L. Stewart, *Exceptional units and cyclic resultants*, Acta Arith. **155** (2012), 407–418.

[23] C.L. Stewart, *Exceptional units and cyclic resultants II*, in: W.K. Chan, L. Fukshansky, R. Schulze-Pillot and J.D. Vaaler (eds.), Diophantine Methods, Lattices, and Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms, Contemp. Math. **587**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2013, pp. 191–200.
[24] C.-F. Sun, Q.-H. Yang, On the sumset of atoms in cyclic groups, Int. J. Number Theory **10** (2014), 1355–1363.
[25] N. Tzanakis and B.M.M. de Weger, *On the practical solution of the Thue equation*, J. Number Theory **31** (1989), 99–132.
[26] N. Tzanakis and B.M.M. de Weger, *How to explicitly solve a Thue-Mahler equation*, Compos. Math. **84** (1992), 223–288.
[27] Q.-H. Yang and Q.-Q. Zhao, *On the sumsets of exceptional units in* $\mathbb{Z}_n$, Monatsh. Math., doi:10.1007/s00605-015-0872-y, to appear.
[28] X. Zhang and C.-G. Ji, *Sums of generators of ideals in residue class ring*, J. Number Theory **174** (2017), 14-25.

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China
E-mail address: mahusu@scut.edu.cn

Department of Computing, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: shamin2010@gmail.com